



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/658,590

09/08/2003

Ook Kim

59472-8025.US01

1927

63170

7590

06/09/2009

PERKINS COIE LLP

P.O. BOX 1208

SEATTLE, WA 98111-1208

EXAMINER

DEWS, BROOKE J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2181

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/09/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/658,590	Applicant(s) KIM ET AL.	
	Examiner Brooke J. Dews	Art Unit 2181	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 March 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-32, 53-58 and 60-72 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-32, 53-58 and 60-72 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 08 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03/30/2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-5, 17-21, 53, 55 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Frank Barth (US Publication 2003/0191872), hereafter Barth.

Regarding claims 1-5, 17-21, 53, 55 and 57 Barth discloses disk drive controller for disk drives comprising:

parallel logic (**via port assignment unit 335**) developing parallel control signals (**parallel data signals**); (**Paragraph [0026] and claim 14 of Barth**)

serial logic (**via port assignment unit 335**) developing serial control signals (**serial data signals**);

Art Unit: 2181

and a multiplexer (**via parallel/serial converter 205**) coupling at least one of the parallel control signals (**parallel data signal**) and the serial control signals (**serial data signal**) to at least one of a parallel hard disk drive (**parallel device**) and a first serial hard disk drive (**serial device**) by a common control bus. (**Paragraph [0028-29], Paragraph [0026], and claim 14 of Barth**)

sending data to the first serial hard disk drive and the second serial hard disk drive at effectively double a base data rate (**speed doublings**); (**Paragraph [0005-6]**)

and encoding (**via port map register 340**) additional commands (**port identification data**) onto the common control bus (**same set of host bus addresses**) (**Paragraph [0025 and 0028]**) comprising:

selecting (**via switching**) a coding standard; determining an unused coding space of the coding standard; forcing some of the bits into the unused coding space; and using at least some of the remaining bits of the unused coding space for an additional control communication on the common bus. (**Paragraph [0025-0026]**)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 6-16, 22-31, 54, 56-58, and 60-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frank Barth (US Publication 2003/0191872), hereafter Barth in view of Ichiro Kumata (US Patent 6715010), hereafter Kumata.

Claims 6-8 16, 22, 23, 54, and 56-58 are rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claims 1, 4, 17, 18, 21, 53, and 55, and further Barth discloses wherein:

Art Unit: 2181

the first the parallel hard disk drive (**parallel device**) is an ATA type; (**Claim 1 of Barth**)

the first serial hard disk drive (**serial device**) is an SATA type; (**Claim 1 of Barth**)

the multiplexer (**parallel/serial converter 205**) further coupling at least one of the parallel control signals and the serial control signals to a second serial hard disk drive by the common control bus;

wherein data is sent to the first serial hard disk drive and the second serial hard disk drive at double a base data rate (**speed doublings; Paragraph [0005-6]**), the doubling the base data rate comprising:

Barth does not explicitly disclose developing a sampling data clock; developing a first data stream at the base data rate; developing a second data stream at the base data rate; and multiplexing the first data stream to the common control bus on a rising edge of the base data clock and the second data stream to the common control bus on a falling edge of the base data clock so that the common control bus carries both the first data stream and the second data stream at double the base data rate; and calibrating phases of the first data stream and the second data stream comprising: (a) choosing a phase; (b) testing to see if the phase is accurate; (c) receiving results of the testing; (d) logging the results of the testing; (e) repeating steps (a) through (d) for at least one more phase; (f) finding a threshold rate based on the results of the testing; and (g) dividing the threshold rate by two; and the controller further encoding additional commands onto the common control bus, wherein the encoding comprising: determining at least one invalid command in used coding space of a coding standard; determining unused coding space; and encoding the at least one invalid command in the used coding space and at least one command in the unused coding space; wherein the coding standard is an 8BIOB (8 bit/10 bit) coding standard.

Kumata discloses developing a sampling data clock (**clock signal**); developing a first data stream at the base data rate; developing a second data stream at the base data rate; (**serial transfer paths**) and multiplexing the first data stream to the common control bus on a rising edge of the base data clock and the second data stream to the common control bus on a falling edge of the base data clock so that the common control bus carries both the first data stream and the second data stream at double the base data rate; (**Column 2 lines 50-67**)

and calibrating phases of the first data stream and the second data stream comprising:

(a) choosing a phase; (b) testing to see if the phase is accurate; (c) receiving results of the testing; (d) logging the results of the testing; (e) repeating steps (a) through (d) for at least one more phase; (f) finding a threshold rate based on the results of the testing; and (g) dividing the threshold rate by two; (**Column 18 lines 50-59; Claim 22 of Kumata**)

Art Unit: 2181

and the controller further encoding additional commands onto the common control bus, wherein the encoding comprising:

determining at least one invalid command in used coding space of a coding standard; determining unused coding space; and encoding the at least one invalid command in the used coding space and at least one command in the unused coding space; wherein the coding standard is an 8BIOB (8 bit/10 bit) coding standard. **(Column 21 lines 57-67)**

Kumata and Barth are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor involving peripheral configuration.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to combine the bus emulation methods of Kumata with the system of Barth. The motivation behind such a combination being for a serial transfer path to be optimized for a peripheral circuit requesting a high transfer rate and a peripheral circuit not requesting a high transfer rate. **(Column 22 lines 47-50 of Kumata)**

Claims 9-15, 24-31, and 60-72 are rejected because the combined limitations have been addressed in the rejected claims above.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 03/12/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are summarized as the following:

A. I have reviewed my invention proposal disclosure records, including the Exhibit A submitted herewith, and readily conclude that the methods, devices, and controllers, as claimed in the Present Application were conceived prior to 27 June 2002, the filing date of the Barth patent publication.

In response to applicant's argument, A, examiner notes that the declaration filed on 02/27/2009 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Barth (US Publication 2003/0191872) reference.

Art Unit: 2181

The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish diligence from a date prior to the effective date of the Barth reference (**June 27, 2002**) to the US filing date of this application and/or it's provisional application (**September 8, 2003 or September 6, 2002**) because there is a period lacking activity.

With respect to Sections 6 and 7 (in addition to the Exhibits of A and B) it is not clear to the examiner which dates prior to the Barth reference the applicant is referring. The examiner suggests that the attorney provide a detailed account of activities between dates before June 27, 2002 til September 6, 2002 for the examiner's consideration.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brooke J. Dews whose telephone number is 571-270-1013. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alford Kindred can be reached on (571) 272-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2181

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/B. J. D./ 06/03/2009

Examiner, Art Unit 2181

/Niketa I. Patel/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2181